

# 8 Key Elements for a Successful Lift-Out Transaction

Client Alert | October 12, 2021

---

Over the past few years we have observed a trend in companies seeking to outsource (and monetize) certain core, best-in-class processes. These transactions, dubbed “lift-outs”, include examples such as insurance companies lifting-out their insurance claims processing capabilities, medical device companies lifting-out their medical device manufacturing capabilities, and pharmaceutical companies lifting-out their research and development capabilities.

While the benefits of these transactions are potentially significant, lift-outs are complex and require a broad array of legal disciplines, such as technology, corporate, tax, privacy, real estate, intellectual property, and employment law specialists, often in multiple jurisdictions. They also demand a significant amount of effort and advance planning in order to address issues such as pricing, governance, change management, limits on liability, indemnification obligations, intellectual property rights, termination rights, and exit rights, among others. As a result, successful lift-out transactions require robust legal expertise in order to document and support the long term goals, opportunities and arrangements of the parties.

From a legal perspective, many of the challenges that arise from lift-out transactions stem from the fact that lift-outs are a hybrid of several better known transactions, namely IT outsourcing transactions, business process outsourcing transactions and carve-out divestiture transactions. While lift-outs have elements that on their face look familiar to either an outsourcing attorney or M&A attorney, these elements and issues are often not addressed in a traditional manner. As such, understanding how to address these elements is critical to a successful lift-out transaction. This alert will discuss several of these key elements.

## Related People

[Daniel Angel](#)

[Dennis J. Friedman](#)

## The Business



service provider's other customers). This is often the most difficult aspect of a lift-out transaction because the internal capabilities being acquired are unlikely to have been operated as a separate business. Moreover, these internal capabilities are rarely even housed within a single legal entity. More often, the personnel, infrastructure and processes that make up these internal capabilities are spread across disparate pieces of a company, multiple entities and

internal capabilities as "a business" is in name only. For these reasons, typical requirements of an M&A carve-out transaction, such as requiring a financial statement or a sufficiency of assets representation, are often impractical, if not impossible in lift-out transactions; and a significant degree of analysis, both legal and financial, and ultimately negotiation, is required to properly define the internal capabilities being transferred. potentially even different business units.

An important aspect of most lift-out transactions is the description of "the business", in other words, what internal capabilities is the service provider acquiring from the company that will be used to provide services back to the company (and eventually the

Furthermore, the internal capabilities have typically never been commercially exploited and are rarely subject to the types of procedures and performance standards of a commercial offering. As a result, referring to these

## Purchase Agreement vs. Services Agreement

# GIBSON DUNN

instances such obligations and requirements may unwind the parties arrangement in the other agreement. For example, an obligation of the service provider in the outsourcing agreement to indemnify the company for third party infringement claims in connection with the services may be unwound if the company is representing to the service provider in the purchase agreement that the assets being purchased by the service provider do not infringe on a third party's rights. Moreover, the parties are often focused on the liabilities the service provider is assuming under the purchase agreement, and its ability to recover those liabilities under either the purchase agreement or

purchase agreement, or is it an ongoing expense that the service provider can seek to recover through its pricing under the outsourcing agreement? Either of these options may make sense depending on the specific lift-out transaction, but the failure to coordinate between the documents as to how this obligation is addressed can lead to an inadvertent benefit to either the service provider or the company. As such, it is critical that attorneys negotiating lift-outs carefully coordinate the negotiations of the obligations and liabilities among the various agreements. the outsourcing agreement. Consider for instance a typical employee-related obligation in a carve-out transaction such as

accrued paid time off. Is accrued time off valued as debt, for which the service provider should obtain a purchase price adjustment under the

## Indemnification/Representation Coverage

Another critical aspect of most lift-out transactions is the interplay between the purchase agreement

# GIBSON DUNN

tension between the indemnification provisions in the outsourcing agreement, and how much indemnification and representation coverage the service provider should be provided under the purchase agreement. Often service providers will demand more coverage than usual under a typical carve-out transaction because of the inherent limitations on the service provider under the outsourcing agreement. Moreover, in many lift-out transactions, the outsourcing agreement imposes certain limitations or even prohibitions on who the service provider may provide services to using the purchased capabilities, further limiting the service provider's upside on the arrangement. Companies on the other hand will demand less coverage than usual

selling the internal capabilities at book-value, with little to no premium, or even at a loss. As the company is not making money on the sale of the internal capabilities, and the service provider is not paying for the goodwill or going-concern value of the internal capabilities, the company will often seek to limit its indemnification exposure under the purchase agreement. Achieving the correct balance between the two competing positions is critical to the success of these arrangements. Too one-sided in either direction can achieve the short term goal of a party under the purchase agreement, but can undermine both parties' long term goals under the outsourcing agreement. under a typical carve-out transaction due to the fact that they are often

One of the more  
**Transitional Services**  
complicated areas in a lift-out transaction is the

perform the services.

Once the inventory is documented, the parties then need to agree-on a detailed implementation plan for each, person, piece of infrastructure and process that is being transferred to the service provider, as well as identifying any gaps in people, infrastructure and processes that the service provider will need to solution, and the plan for addressing those gaps. The implementation plan should ideally be documented and agreed to in sufficient detail prior to contract signing, or at the latest, prior to closing. Otherwise, even minor discrepancies in the parties' understanding as to how particular people, infrastructure or processes are transferring (or not) can have significant impacts on the pricing of the outsourcing aspect of the transaction.

various people, infrastructure and processes (including third party services and contracts) that the customer uses to

The foregoing is a sample of the complex issues that arise in connection with the negotiation and documentation of the purchase aspects of a lift-out transaction. There are similar complex issues that arise in connection with the negotiation and documentations of the outsourcing aspects of the lift out arrangement as follows.

## Pricing

As with most carve-out transactions, the service provider in a lift-out transaction will often need the company to continue to provide the services for a period of time following the closing until the service provider can successfully transition the people, infrastructure and processes to its own systems. However, in a lift-out transaction this standard arrangement for a carve-out transaction can become circular, as under the outsourcing agreement the service provider is providing these same services back to the company. To avoid the situation where the company provides

transitional services to the service provider who

provides services back to the company, the parties

# GIBSON DUNN

companies are looking for their service provider to accommodate the inevitable changes that arise in the company's own product and

provider. service offerings. Given the complexity of these transactions, rarely does the pricing model fit neatly into a typical

While lift-outs are driven by a variety of business drivers – such as introducing a change agent, getting access to best-of-breed services, and refocusing on competitive advantages – the pricing of the services back to the customer under the outsourcing agreement is often the most critical consideration. A basic goal of these transactions is for a company to obtain variable pricing for what is otherwise a fixed cost. Moreover, built-in flexibility in the pricing structure is typically a sought after feature of the pricing model under the outsourcing aspect of a lift-out transaction as

outsourcing pricing model (e.g., fixed fee, variable unitized fee, or time and materials). Instead, the rarely are the parties able to agree to a straight-forward liability structure. More often, the liability pricing models. Often the structure for lift-out pricing model in these transactions must take into account increases in the cost of raw materials, people, infrastructure and labor and other service inputs, and efficiencies that arise from new technologies and innovations in the processes comprising the potentially even replace or sunset these assets transferred to the service possession of the service provider, and include numerous separate caps and baskets for certain types of liabilities, and carve-outs to the consequential damages waiver for certain liabilities.

# GIBSON DUNN

came, in large part, from the company. Moreover, given the critical nature of the services provided

The liability provisions in

typical outsourcing

arrangements often

A comprehensive

involve the most

governance process is

negotiation, and lift-out

critical in most

transactions are no

outsourcing transactions;

different. However, most

and this is equally, if not

more, important in a lift-

providers will

out transaction. The

acknowledge that the

decision making

standard construct

processes under the

whereby the service

outsourcing agreement

provider will seek to limit

its liability for direct

enable the company to

damages to a function of

respond quickly to market

the fees paid by the

changes, similar to the

company under the

manner in which the

outsourcing arrangement,

company was able to

respond prior to the lift-

completely waive its right

out. A number of

elements often contribute

to an efficient and

well for lift-out

effective governance

## Governance

transactions. Especially  
process. First, the  
given the fact that the  
governance model  
people, infrastructure and  
should identify the roles  
processes used to  
both parties will maintain  
provide the services  
and describe with as  
much precision as  
possible the  
responsibilities of those  
roles.

Second, contractually  
requiring a regular  
meeting cadence is  
important. Third, the  
parties should include an  
efficient dispute  
resolution process to  
address operational  
issues, before they  
become impediments to  
the transaction's  
success. By providing a  
strong governance  
process upfront and  
addressing these and  
other key issues in  
advance, the parties to a  
lift-out transaction can  
provide a mechanism  
that will allow the parties  
to resolve future disputes  
when they do inevitably  
arise.



## Exit Rights

Whether due to the arrangement's natural expiration, poor performance by the service provider, or a change in strategy by the company, exit rights vary dramatically from transaction to transaction depending on the type of internal capabilities transferred, and when in the life-cycle of the arrangement the arrangement is terminated. In anticipation of the potential for a termination of the arrangement, the parties should establish in the outsourcing agreement the appropriate distribution of assets, including people, facilities, IP rights and

processes and determine whether the company will have the right to take back any of the capabilities transferred to the service provider under the purchase agreement. In almost all cases, given the complexity of these arrangements and the interdependencies between the services provided by the service provider, and the success of the company's own business, the parties should provide for a significant transition period in the event the arrangement is terminated for any reason.

### Daniel Angel

Co-Chair,  
Technology Transactions Practice  
New York  
+1 212.351.2329  
[dangel@gibsondunn.com](mailto:dangel@gibsondunn.com)

### Dennis J. Friedman

New York  
+1 212.351.3900  
[dfriedman@gibsondunn.com](mailto:dfriedman@gibsondunn.com)

# GIBSON DUNN

the U.S., EU and Asia dedicated to assisting customers on these and related outsourcing matters. Please contact the Gibson Dunn attorney with whom you usually work, any member of the firm's [Strategic Sourcing and Commercial Transactions Practice](#), or the authors.

Please note that we have only highlighted some of the issues. © 2021 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Attorney Advertising. The enclosed materials have been prepared for general informational purposes only and are not intended as legal advice.

these complex transactions.

**Related Capabilities** are available in our [Strategic Sourcing and Commercial Transactions](#)

[Technology Transactions](#) addressing any questions you

may have regarding these

[Mergers and Acquisitions](#) transactions. We have a team of experienced partners across